Redefining Reading Comprehension

Four major developments over the last 20 years have helped expand our understanding of reading—it's not a mechanical operation, it's a creative endeavor.

→ he days when reading comprehension skill was equated with reading speed or the ability to regurgitate the text have thankfully given way to a broader view of reading Overly text-based accounts of comprehension have been displaced by multifaceted considerations of the subjectivity of meaning-making, shared understandings held by communities of readers, and reading as the flexible orchestration of problem-solving strategies in conjunction with the thoughtful consideration of ideas Further, inference and evaluation are regarded as essential to achieving basic understanding as they are to the critical thinking that grows with interpretation and the ability to recount literal detail In other words, a mechanical view of reading has given way to a view of reading as creative enterprise

The view that reading is a creative activity has its roots in the thinking of several scholars over this century. For example, Thorndike, in his study of students' "errors" when reading a difficult paragraph, clearly saw the reader as being involved in creation rather than translation. As he stated, "to read means 'to think' as truly as does 'to evaluate' or 'to invent' or 'to demonstrative activity as does to evaluate or 'to invent' or 'to demonstrative activity as does."

strate' or 'to verify'" (1917, p 914) Based upon his analysis of oral reading miscues, Goodman (1967) suggested that readers are involved in an intricate creation of meanings reflecting an interweaving of their own idea and those suggested by the text And over the past 20 years especially, research has brought to the fore a cadre of views that support and expand upon such a view of comprehension

The "reading as a writer" concept has had a significant impact both upon our definition of reading and on our practices.

From my perspective, four major developments since the 1970s have contributed to such an expansive conception of comprehension I would describe these as viewing reading (1) as constructive processes, (2) as writing, (3) as engagement, and (4) as situation-based

Reading as Constructive Processes

In the 1970s, a kind of zeitgeist, or cognitive revolution, occurred, which brought to prominence what has been termed a constructivist or schema-theoretic view of reading comprehension At that time, researchers and theorists gravitated to the view that readers' use of their background knowledge to "construct" meanings is the fuel by which they navigate their way through texts, using a repertoire of behaviors to create, refine, and rethink meanings After all, in numerous studies, the reader's background knowledge had proved a better predictor of recall than verbal intelligence, word recognition, overall reading ability, vocabulary knowledge, and other factors (Anderson and Pearson 1984) Further, in related studies, the influence of other "reader-based" factors—purpose and perspective—was shown to mobilize and direct this knowledge (Pichert 1979, Spiro 1977) In other words, not only is a person's background knowledge a good predictor of comprehension, but it also guides the reader through the text and enables him or her to suggest scenarios, make predictions, identify and empathize with characters, and relate to events or settings and their interplay

Essentially, what emerged from these studies was a view of meaningmaking tied to certain key postulates

- 1 The desire of readers to make sense drives their comprehension processes
- 2 Understandings are essentially inferential That is, readers use their background knowledge in conjunction with their expectations to develop meanings by continuously relating their views and experiences to the ideas, characters, and events suggested by the text They visualize people and events, tie together ideas, anticipate outcomes, ask themselves questions, assess the plausibility of understandings, as well as rethink, restructure, and revise their ideas as they mentally revise the text or replace it with others
- 3 Interpretation and comprehension are both idiosyncratic and stylized. That is, readers are apt to read the same text for different purposes and, even if they read the same text for the same purposes, are apt to achieve different ends.

Constructivism, although it contributed to a refocusing of literacy educators' attention to comprehension, did not shift instruction in major new directions. On the positive side, a large number of educators paid attention to the teaching of comprehension, including strategy development, activation of a reader's background knowledge, and the importance of selecting meaningful materials. On the negative side, most tests, curriculum guides. and teachers maintained practices tied to views of comprehension that either neglected or ran counter to key aspects of constructivism. Consider an illustration

Peter, a 4th grader, is a member of a reading group, which today is to read a story about a boy and his dog. The teacher bnefly mentions that some of the students might themselves have pets and then di-

If viewing reading as writing expanded our views of reading, interest in engagement deepened them.

rects them to read one or two pages in order to answer the following questions. Where does the story take place? Who is involved in the story?

Peter reads the two pages and sits waiting to answer the teacher's questions. The teacher checks to see if the children get the right answers. She then directs Peter and the group to read the next two pages in order to answer a few more questions. Peter follows the teacher's directions. His perception of the rules is to work quietly, do your own work, and try to get the right answers. Peter measures his success against whether he gets the right answers and is praised for working well (quietly and diligently)

At the end of the selection, the teachers ask the students what the theme is The teacher explains how she arrived at her theme. Then Peter and the other students are given the opportunity to write their own story about a pet

Classroom observations of teaching as well as analyses of reading materials suggest that the majority of students in this country are receiving a fare similar to Peter While there are some positive aspects to Peter's experience, the lesson falls short in a number of ways First, Peter's teacher is focused upon telling the students what to do For example, the students are told about pets, they do not share their experiences with pets.

in many reading and writing classrooms in which most of the ideas discussed follow the lead of the teacher, not the child In fact, on average, fewer than 10 percent of the ideas discussed are student initiated Purther, most lines of thought are not sustained, usually less than a minute is spent developing or discussing an idea (O'Flahavan et al. 1988)

In addition, rather than having Peter set his own purposes, ask his own questions, explore the characters and events for himself, and reflect upon what he has read for himself, the teacher has a set of questions to which she expects students to respond and a set of criteria for judging their responses Further, Peter is expected to work alone with minimal input from peers to achieve understandings that are terminal rather than ongoing

In selecting the reading material and shaping the questions to ask, Peter's teacher likely considered her students' background knowledge, however, she controls the floor Peter is in the position of having to struggle against the tide or relinquish certain initiatives build bridges of understanding from his experiences, ask his own questions, assess his own understandings

Constructivism may have broadened our thinking about reading, but it did not have a great deal of impact upon practice Most teachers, test-makers, and curriculum developers sull expected uniformity in how texts were interpreted and understood They also retained support for a view of reading that was asocial or solitary, teacher- or textdriven, static or terminal Further, they persisted in emphasizing regurgitation, answering someone else's questions (rather than the child's own questions), and sometimes assuming that a literal recanting of the story was the necessary prerequisite to evaluative or inferential responses

Reading as Writing

Constructivism, however, did plant the seeds for change Constructivist notions were more fully embraced in the 1980s, when the view of reading as writing began to emerge. Early in the decade, classroom teachers and language arts researchers encountered the work of

writing researchers (e.g., Graves 1978, 1983, Emig 1971, Flower and Hayes 1980), and major changes in the teaching of writing resulted. As students did more and more writing, it became evident that their writing was influencing their interest in reading, their attitudes toward reading, as well as their approaches Take, if you will, Chris, a 3rd grader who has experienced the increased emphasis given writing and reading-writing connections

During the year, Chris has written several books and completed numerous projects. When Chris writes, she spends some time planning, including gathering together resources and checking on possible directions. If you talk to Chris during the planning stages of her writing, she will likely tell you about her research on the topic, a particular angle or focus she is adoping, or questions she is trying to answer. Then, as she writes, Chris will stop to mult over her goals, conference with others, check with books she has read, en route to defining her directions, reshaping her thoughts, and so on

If asked about a story she is writing, Chris is apt to talk about the problems she creates for her reader as well as the images she creates For example, Chris explained a section of a piece she had written "Well, on the second page it says, 'Brad Wilson was walking down a dirt road,' and they (the readers) have a dirt road in their mind, but when I say, 'wbich is really a mud road because of a good day's rain,' they have a clue and they keep it in their minds "Finally Chris will share what she has written with others Sometimes she feels as if she never finishes a story, just moves on

Now consider Chris as a reader Just as Chris plans what she writes, she will think and plan what she will read She will decide upon her focus, the questions she wants answered, and the sections of books to which she will refer As she reads, she is apt to pause as meanings are developed, ideas considered, and understandings rewamped After reading, she will share her interpretation with others

As my example illustrates, the reading and the writing Chris initiates are somewhat parallel Both processes involve her in researching, asking questions, tying together ideas, rethinking, using peers as sounding boards for directions, and so on At the same time, what Chris has written influences how she reads and thinks about ideas and how they are crafted

In turn, what Chris writes is influenced by what she has read. She often

experiments with ideas and techniques emanating from her reading Further, Chris enlists writing and reading together such that they reflect a view of meaning-making that involves orchestrating the use of various resources Her desk is a workbench from which Chris chooses writing or reading tools or refers to them for help Moreover, as Chris reads and writes, she is engaged in a rich array of thinking She does not merely paraphrase or regurgitate ideas, she evaluates issues, explores possibilities, adopts various perspectives, experiments with ideas, and discovers new insights

The "reading as a writer" concept has had a significant impact both upon our definition of reading and on our practices Whereas advocates of schema-theoretic notions voiced support for practices that supported a view of meaningmaking that was reader-based, social, personal, and idiosyncratic, the advent of a more "writerly" view of reading moved us toward such a disposition Whereas schema-theoretic notions had little impact upon the skills and abilities that directed curriculum, a writer-based view of reading moved programs to focus upon composing behaviors including brainstorming, self-questioning, visualizing, rethinking, and so on Whereas schema-theoretic views had little impact upon the extent to which interpretations were shared, reading tied to writing assumed a collaborative

The research on engagement has moved us toward views of reading that reach beneath the surface to a fuller consideration of the reader's emotional, affective, and visual involvement.

thrust Whereas schema-theoretic views tended to separate reading from writing and to focus upon the reading of a single text, the growing interest in writing resulted in a marriage of reading and writing. This entailed considering the complex issues of meaning-making using several texts and other resources simultaneously (see Tierney and Shanahan, in press).

Reading as Engagement

If viewing reading as writing expanded our views of reading, interest in engagement deepened them. The research on engagement has moved us toward views of reading that connect readers to their imaginations and that reach beneath the surface to a fuller consideration of the reader's emotional, affective, and visual involvement. In other words, research on engagement has moved us beyond the conceptualization of reading as a bare-boned schematization of ideas to a consideration of meaning that includes an appreciation of the images that readers trigger, the emotional involvements that may permeate their responses, and the sense of what Tolkein referred to as secondary world involvement into which readers transport themselves (Tolkein 1964)

Consider those moments in your own reading when the vicariousness takes hold You have a sense of being there, as a sort of ghostly watcherstanding beside, behind, or with characters Sometimes you develop such intimate relationships with texts that you feel as if the author is speaking to you or that you want to intrude and say something Even very young children being read to by a parent will reveal such engagements, for example, as they join with Maurice Sendak's (1963) Max from Where the Wild Things Are and say "Be still," or when they ask the parent to read a section again in order to have the intonation "just right" Carlsen and Sherrill (1988) have collected thousands of statements from readers that include references to their memories of such involvement Here are two reflections

The one classic that greatly influenced my life was Robinson Crusoe Crusoe and I had much in common We were both alone on our little islands—our own little worlds Crusoe was my idol, so for several

The Meeting

Lee rode to the house of a man named McLean to have a talk with Grant. He wore this best uniform and he had a sword buckled at his side; and there should have been lancers and pennons and trumpets going on before, for he was the last American knight and he had a grandeur about him, and when he rode out of the war something that will never come back tode out of American life with him.

Creat moments provide their own dramatic contrast. Grant came to the meeting in the coat of a private soldier, with tarnished shoulder straps tacked on, and his boots and uniform were spattered with mud. He had forgotten to wear his sword—as an eminently practical man he hardly ever bothered with it, during the great Battle of the Wilderness his side arm had been a jackknife, with which he pensively whitled twigs while the fighting raged—and there was nothing at all imposing about him as he sat down for the third time in this war, to write the terms of surrender for an opposing army. The beaten man looked the part of a great soldier, the victor looked perhaps like a clerk from a Galena leather store, unaccountably rigged out in Taded regimentals, scribbling on a scratch pad in the front room of a little house in southern Virginia.

The terms were simple. The beaten army would not go off to prison camp, any more than had been the case after Vicksburg. The men would lay down their weapons and they would go home; and since most of them were small farmers, and the war was about over. Grant directed that each one who claimed to own a horse or mule be allowed to take one home with him from the stock of captured confederate army animals. The men would need these beasts to get in a crop and work their farms, said Grant. No one knew better than he the heartbreak of trying to get a living from the land with inadequate equipment.

And he write into the terms of surrender one of the great sentences in American history, Officers and men were to sign paroles, and then they were to go home, "not to be disturbed by the United States authority so long as they observe their paroles and the laws in force where they reside."

Grant looked at the beaten army and he saw his own fellow Americans, who had made their right and lost and now wanted to go back and rebuild. But the war had aroused much hatred and bitterness, especially among those who had done no fighting, and Grant knew very well that powerful men in Washington were talking angrily of treason and traitors, and wanting to draw up proscription lists, so that leading Confederates could be jailed or hanged.

The sentence Grant had written would make that Impossible. They could proceed against Robert E. Lee, for instance, only by violating the pledged word of U.S. Grant, who had both the will and the power to see his word kept inviolate. If they could not hang Lee they could hardly hang anybody. There would be no hangings. Grant had ruled them out.

lt did not strike the eye quite as quickly, but U.S. Grant had a certain grandeur about him, tog.

-From Bruce Catton's America, Bruce Catton (1979).

months I imagined myself, equipped with a goat skin umbrella and faithful dog, roving over my little island (the back forty on our farm). I still feel a certain affection for *Robinson Crusoe* and make a point of reading the book yearly and recalling the time I first read it [p. 79].

I soon became an avid reader in bed. I particularly remember the Sue Barton, Student Nurse, books. At the time, good old Sue, my best friend, and I went through at least three typhoid epidemics together. I was also a "school bus reader." Being a farm girl, I spent about an hour and a half on the school bus each day. Our school buses were old, drafty, noisy, and had obviously been bullt before the days of shock absorbers. None of these slight inconveniences bothered me. I simply opened a book and shut myself in a different world. Occasionally I would read a sad book and end up crying sympatheti-

cally on the way home amidst a cloud of good-natured ridicule. As I look back, I firmly believe that I have my school bus days to thank for my power of concentration when I study now [p. 51].

In past years, researchers dismissed investigating such involvements on the grounds that they were supplemental to comprehension, but research has since established that such involvements are indeed integral to comprehension and, further, that defining a reader's involvement is crucial to expanding our previous description of readers (Tierney and Pearson 1983). By specifying the nature of a reader's involvement—details and elements of setting and character traits to which the reader attends and is influenced

by—we can better understand the reader's biases and orientation. By detailing these perspectives, absorptions, intimacies, and empathies, we can pin down the assumptions and experiences that undergird the reader's responses. Further, we can appreciate the perspectives and stances in place or needing to be in place to inform different understandings.

Consider, for example, Bruce Catton's (1979) text dealing with the surrender of Robert E. Lee to Ulysses S. Grant, shown in the sidebar. A schema-theoretic view might address the agents (Lee and Grant), the setting (a tent amidst an army), and the actions (arrival, surrender)—but ignore the ambiance, affect, images, and, moreover, the different stances and perspectives that define the reader's involvement. As strong links to story lines or characters emerge, readers apparently develop mental spaces or imaginary worlds. It is also apparent that the creation of such worlds, their nature, and how readers position themselves within them will vary. For example, think of a classroom of readers as witnesses at the scene of a crime. They have all seen a murder, but where they were when the crime occurred varied and their affiliations to the victim and the alleged perpetrator differ. Or, with Bruce Catton's text, different readers witness the writing and signing of the surrender or peace, but their view of what is happening varies in accordance with various factors, such as their affiliations with Grant and Lee.

Taken together, recent attempts to address engagement represent an important response to previous shortcomings in our view of reading, Indeed, in the 1980s, several researchers lamented our failure to consider the affective dimensions of comprehension (Brewer and Nakamura, in press; Spiro 1980). By the end of the 1980s, however, these affective dimensions were beginning to be delineated. From the work of Rosenblatt (1978), Harding (1937), Benton (1983), Jose and Brewer (1983), and Sadoski et al. 1988), a view of the role of affect began to emerge that enriched and, in some ways challenged, past conceptualizations of comprehension.

Reading as Situation-Based

Certainly, over the past 20 years our views of comprehension have made major advances. In conjunction with the developments I have described, they have become more detailed, expansive, and rich. The last development I will describe moves us still further. In particular, the notion that comprehension should be regarded as situation-specific reflects a movement away from assuming that comprehension processes are neatly prepackaged to the view that they are ill-structured, complex, and vary from one context to another.

In the past 10 years, a growing number of psychologists (e.g., Resnick 1988, Seely-Brown et al. 1989, Spiro et al. 1987) have argued for an approach to learning that reflects this view of reading comprehension. As Spiro and colleagues (1987) have asserted:

The overall effect of the simplifying features of knowledge representation systems and instructional strategies mentioned previously is a leveling tendency, a tendency towards monolithic approaches. Understanding is seen as proceeding in essentially the same way across instances of the same topic. Our view is different: The conditions for applying old knowledge are subject to considerable variability, and that variability in turn requires flexibility of response. Monolithic representations of knowledge will too often leave the holders facing situations for which their rigid "plaster casts" simply do not fit. The result is the often heard complaint of students: "We weren't taught that." By this, they mean that they weren't taught exactly that. They lack the ability to use their knowledge in new ways, the ability to think for themselves (p. 4).

Situation-based cognition brings to the fore several key ideas. Among

Above all, reading is a place where readers discover and reflect upon themselves—who they are and what matters.

those central to understanding comprehension are contexts, multiple slants, and flexibility. A key tenet is that information that is to be used in lots of different ways needs to be explored in lots of different ways. This suggests not limiting information to a single point of view, single interpretation, single system of classification, single slant, or single case. In many ways, situationbased cognition dovetails with developments pertaining to reading as writing and reading as engagementespecially, the notion that a reader's understanding is enhanced when readers crisscross their explorations of ideas or vary their engagement within text worlds.

Problem solving rests upon tying problems to contexts rather than either stripping them of such foundations or relying on overly generalized principles. Problem solving hinges on the availability and connectiveness of multiple schemas, including the ability to flexibly use multiple analogies and several cases.

The notion of situation-based cognition illuminates the differences between the realities of real-world learning and knowledge and school-based learning and knowledge. If you consider the knowledge of people in and out of school and how they learn, some startling contrasts emerge (see fig. 1).

A Reader's Journey

For the last two decades, researchers have been trying to account for the intense nature of a reader's involvement as well as the riches reflected in responses of different readers to different texts and the same reader to the same text-especially those readers' who find reading empowering. Cognitive scientists might view this effort as trying to come to grips with a robust model of comprehension and comprehension development. Literary these orists might perceive this movement as accounting for the intersubjectivity of different responses that arise in conjunction with the intertextual ties readers make across texts and to other readers. Practitioners might view this as being child-centered. Those members of society concerned with the dulling of our students' minds might perceive this orientation as the development of a "productive and more generative literacy "

For researchers and others who enjoy looking into the windows of the reader's mind, I hope our explorations have just begun and that our awe for what readers do remains intact. Above all, I see reading as a place where readers discover and reflect upon themselves-who they are and what matters. They do so as they develop intimate relationships with authors and characters, participate in events, journey into different times, make discoveries, solve mysteries, celebrate or share in joy or disaster, and are moved to voice opinions, and sometimes even to revolt.

A reader's journey through text is likely to be full of images, tensions, anticipations, reactions, identifications, empathies, appreciations, delights, satisfactions, and reflections. Unmistakably, these journeys are quite personal and uncommon, but at the same time, they are collaborative and shared. Above all, they are full of potential for discovering self.

Fig. 1: School-Based vs. Real-World Learning and Knowledge

<u>in School</u>

ideas and strategies are defined by principles.

ideas and strategies are often presented

ldeas and strategies are often presented as if they were alraightforward, well organized, usually hierarchical.

Ideas and strategies are presented as if they were absolutes.

Out of School

ldeas and strategies are defined by examples and cases:

ldeas and strategies are presented in:

ldeas and strategies are viewed as ill structured, messy, rarely hterarchical.

Ideas and strategies are viewed as relative and requiring flexible consideration.

<u> 1888 billionis partiko ingrampang ang panggang panggang panggang panggang panggang panggang panggang panggang</u>

Robert J. Tierney is Associate Professor, School of Education, The Ohio State University, 1945 N. High St., Columbus, OH 43210-1257

References

Anderson, R.C., and P.D. Pearson (1984)
"A Schema-Theoretic View of Basals." In
Handbook of Reading Research, edited
by P.D. Pearson, New York, Longman
Benton, M. (1983) "Secondary Worlds."

fournal of Research and Development m Education 16, 3 68–75

Brewer, WF, and GV Nakamura (In press) "The Nature and Functions of Schemas" In *Handbook of Social Cognition*, edited by RS Wyer and TK. Srull Hillsdale, NJ Erlbaum

Carlsen, G.R., and A. Sherrill (1988) Voices of Readers Urbana, Ill National Council of Teachers of English

Catton, B (1979) "The Meeting" In Bruce Catton's America, pp 178-179, edited by O Jensen New York Promotary Press Emig, J (1971) The Composing Processes of Twelfth Graders (Research Report No 13) Urbana, Ill National Council of Teachers of English

Plower, L., and J. Hayes (1980) "The Dynamics of Composing, Making Plans, and Juggling Constraints" In Cognitive Processes in Writing, pp. 31–50, edited by L.W. Gregg and E.R. Steinberg, Hillsdale, N.J. Erlbaum.

Goodman, K.S (1967) "Reading A Psycholinguistic Guessing Game" Journal of Reading Specialist 126–135

Graves, D (1978) Balance the Basics Let Them Write New York Ford Foundation

Graves, D (1983) Writing Teachers and Students at Work Exeter, NH Heinemann

Harding, DW (1937) "On the Role of Onlooker" Scrunny 6 247-258

Jose, P., and W.F. Brewer (1983) "The Development of Story Liking Character Identification, Suspense, and Outcome Resolution" Technical Report No. 291 University of Illinois Center for the Study of Reading, Urbana

O'Flahavan, JF, DK Harman, and PD Pearson (1988) "Teacher Questioning

and Feedback Practices A Twenty-Year Retrospective " In *Dialogues in Literacy Research*, pp 183–208, edited by J E Readence and R.S. Baldwin Chicago National Reading Conference

Pichert, J W (1979) "Sensitivity to What Is Important in Prose" Technical Report No 149 University of Illinois Center for the Study of Reading, Urbana. (ERIC ED 179-946)

Resnick, L (1988) "Learning in School and Out" Educational Researcher 16, 9 13-20

Rosenblatt, LM (1978) The Reader, the Text, the Poem The Transactional Theory of the Literary Work Carbondale, Ill Southern University Press

Sadoski, M., E.T. Goetz, and S. Kangiser (1988) "Imagination in Story Response Relationships Between Imagery, Affect, and Structural Importance" Reading Research Quarterly 23, 3 320–336

Seely-Brown, J. A. Collins, and P. Duguid (1989) "Situation Cognition and the Culture of Learning" Educational Researcher 18, 1–32–42

Sendak, M (1963) Where the Wild Things Are New York Harper and Row

Spiro, R.J. (1977) "Remembering Information from Text The 'State of Schema' Approach" In Schooling and the Acquisition of Knowledge, edited by R.C. Anderson, R.J. Spiro, and W.G. Montague Hillsdale, N.J. Erlbaum Spiro, R.J. (1980) "Constructive Processes

Spiro, RJ (1980) "Constructive Processes in Prose Recall" In *Theoretical Issues in* Reading Comprehension, edited by RJ Spiro, B C Bruce, and W F Brewer Hillsdale, NJ Erlbaum

Spiro, R.J., W.L. Vispoel, J.B. Schmitz, A. Samarapurgavar, and A.E. Boerger (1987) "Knowledge Acquisition for Appreciation Cognitive Flexibility and Transfer in Complex Content Domains" In Executive Control Processes, edited by B.C. Britton and S. Glynn Hillsdale, N.J. Erlbaum

Thorndike, E.L. (1917) "Reading as Reasoning A Study of Mistakes in Paragraph Reading" Journal of Educational Psychology 8 323-332 Tierney, R.J., and P.D. Pearson (May 1983) "Toward a Composing Model of Reading" Language Arts 60, 5 568-580

Tierney, R.J., and P.D. Pearson (May 1983) "Toward a Composing Model of Reading" *Language Arts* 60, 5 568–580

Tierney, R.J., and T. Shanahan. (In press)
"Research on the Reading-Writing Relationship Interactions, Transactions, and Outcomes." In *Handbook of Reading Research*, edited by P.D. Pearson. New York. Longman.

Tolkein, J.R.R. (1964) "On Fairy Stones" in Tree and Leaf London Allen and Unwin.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

THE ART AND CRAFT OF THE PRINCIPALSHIP: AN INSTITUTE FOR NEW AND ASPIRING PRINCIPALS

July 1-10, 1990

This program, for principals with one to three years' experience, will address the following questions:

- What are the key ingredients in creating a school environment that fosters growth and learning – for both children and adults?
- What are the fundamental components of school change?
- How can I, as a new principal, develop staff support, build school/community coalitions, and restructure the workplace to meet the changing needs of children?

LEADERSHIP: AN EVOLVING VISION July 18-27, 1990

This new program for experienced principals will examine three basic questions.

- How can practitioners share in building the knowledge base on leadership?
- How can I, as a school leader, engender coalition building and shared leadership to improve the school community?
- What are the components of a healthy school culture, and what is the leader's role in supporting such a culture?

Application deadline: April 6, 1990 For complete program announcements and application materials, please write to

Programs in Professional Education 339L Gutman Library Harvard Graduate School of Education Cambridge, MA 02138 Or call (617) 495-3572



Copyright © 2002 EBSCO Publishing